Reply to Office Action of April 20, 2004

## REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Claims 1-24 were pending in the Application. By this Amendment, Claims 17 and 20 are being canceled and claims 18, 19, 21, 22 and 24 are being rewritten in independent form. No new matter is involved.

On page 2 of the Office Action, claims 17 and 20 are rejected as anticipated by U.S. Patent 4,989,419 of Brando et al. In response, Applicant is canceling claims 17 and 20, thereby obviating this rejection.

At the bottom of page 2, claims 1-16 are said to be allowed over the prior art of record.

As also stated at the bottom of page 2, claims 18, 19 and 21-24 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim but are indicated as being allowable if rewritten in independent form. In response, Applicant is rewriting claims 18, 19, 21, 22 and 24 in independent form so as to make them allowable. Claim 23 depends from claim 22 and contains all of the limitations thereof so as to also be allowable.

In conclusion, claims 1-16, 18, 19 and 21-24 should be in condition for Therefore, reconsideration and allowance for the reasons discussed above. allowance are respectfully requested.

If for any reason the Examiner finds the application other than in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to call the undersigned attorney at the Los Angeles, California telephone number (213) 337-6700 to discuss the steps necessary for placing the application in condition for allowance.

Appl. No. 10/617,004 Amdt. Dated March 11, 2005 Reply to Office Action of April 20, 2004 Attorney Docket No. 89240.0002 Customer No.: 26021

If there are any fees due in connection with the filing of this response, please charge the fees to our Deposit Account No. 50-1314.

By:

Respectfully submitted,

HOGAN & HARTSO L.L.P.

Date: March 11, 2005

John P. Scherlacher

Registration No. 23,009 Attorney for Applicant(s)

500 South Grand Avenue, Suite 1900

Los Angeles, California 90071

Phone: 213-337-6700 Fax: 213-337-6701